



UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad ark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		ATTY, DOCKET NO.		
06/05/95	GOICOECHEA	G	END-2	210
			EXA	ØNER
	33M1/0421			
PAUL F PRESTIA		MILANO.M		
ESTIA			ART LINIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROAD				4
		3308		l
VALLEY FORGE PA 19482		DATE MAILED 4/21/97		
	TIA ESTIA ROAD	33M1/0421 FIA ESTIA ROAD	33M1/0421 FIA ESTIA ROAD 3308	33M1/0421 FIA ESTIA ROAD 3308

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 1996					
This action is FINAL.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, praccordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 2	rosecution as to the merits is closed in				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respon the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 1.136(a).	month(s), or thirty days, id within the period for response will cause be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR				
Disposition of Claims					
Ctaim(s) 54,59 £62 -66 Of the above, claim(s) 57,63 £64 Ctaim(s) 57,63 £64 Ctaim(s) 57,62,65 £66	is are pending in the application.				
The above, claim(s) Sy, 63£64 is are will that the constant and the consta					
St Claim(s) 54,62,65£C6	is are rejected.				
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority docu	ments have been				
received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (F					
*Certified copies not received:					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e).				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	, .				
Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	•				
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLO	WING PAGES				
OTTO 1.208 (Day 0.005)	± U.S. GPO: 1996-404-496/40517				

Serial Number: 08/463,987 Page 2

Art Unit: 3308

DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

1. In view of the papers filed Feb. 25, 1997, it has been found that this non-provisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by the addition of joint inventors Andrew H. Cragg and Michael D. Drake.

Double Patenting

2. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 54 and 62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 10 of US Pat. 5,562,726 (Serial No. 08/317,763). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of broader claims of the application are contained within the narrower (more specific) claims of the patent.

Serial Number: 08/463,987 Page 3

Art Unit: 3308

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 65 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Barone, 5,360,443. Barone teaches the use of a bifurcated graft at least partially supported by stent members 165 and 192.
- 6. Claims 65 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chuter, 5,562,726. Chuter teaches the use of a bifurcated graft at least partially supported by stent members 6 and 86.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 59, 63 and 64 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Serial Number: 08/463,987 Page 4

Art Unit: 3308

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the

statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Michael Milano at

telephone number (703) 308-2496.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group 3300 Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Milano.mm April 16, 1997

> Michael J. Milano Primary Examiner Group 3300, AU 3308